arXiv:1407.3466v1 [math.CV] 13 Jul 2014

FREDHOLMNESS AND COMPACTNESS OF TRUNCATED TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL OPERATORS

R. V. BESSONOV

Abstract. We prove the spectral mapping theorem $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) = \varphi(\sigma_e(A_z))$ for the Fredholm spectrum of a truncated Toeplitz operator A_φ with symbol φ in the Sarason algebra $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ acting on a coinvariant subspace K_θ of the Hardy space H^2. Our second result says that a truncated Hankel operator on the subspace K_θ generated by a one-component inner function θ is compact if and only if it has a continuous symbol. We also suppose a description of truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators in Schatten classes S^p.

1. Introduction

Truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators are compressions of the standard Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Hardy space H^2 to its coinvariant subspaces K_θ. More precisely, consider the shift operator $S : f \mapsto zf$ on the Hardy space H^2 in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. By A. Beurling theorem, invariant subspaces of S have the form θH^2, where θ is an inner function in $\mathbb{D}$. Accordingly, the subspaces $K_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ are invariant under the backward shift operator $S^* : f \mapsto \frac{f-f(0)}{z}$ on H^2. Fix an inner function θ and consider H^2 and K_θ as subspaces of the space $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Let P_θ and $P_{\bar\theta}$ denote the orthogonal projections in L^2 to the subspaces K_θ and $\overline{zK_\theta} = \{f \in L^2 : \overline{zf} \in K_\theta\}$, correspondingly. Take $\varphi \in L^2$ and define the operators

$$A_\varphi : f \mapsto P_\theta(\varphi f), \qquad \Gamma_\varphi : f \mapsto P_{\bar\theta}(\varphi f),$$

on the dense subset $K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ of the space K_θ. The operator $A_\varphi : K_\theta \to K_\theta$ is called the truncated Toeplitz operator; $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ is the truncated Hankel operator with symbol φ. For recent results on truncated Toeplitz operators see survey [8]. The present paper is closely related to [1], [2], [4], [21], [23]. Let us now discuss in details two results formulated in the abstract.

A spectral mapping theorem. The restricted shift operator $S_\theta = A_z$ on K_θ is the simplest non-trivial case of Sz.-Nagy–Foias model [25] for Hilbert space contractions. Textbook [15] by N. K. Nikolski is devoted to the study of different properties of this operator. Defining $\varphi(S_\theta) = A_\varphi$ for bounded analytic functions $\varphi \in H^\infty$, we obtain the H^∞-functional calculus for the operator S_θ. Let $\sigma(\theta)$ denote the spectrum of the inner function θ, that is, the set of all points ξ in the closed unit

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Truncated Toeplitz operators, truncated Hankel operators, spectral mapping theorem, Schatten ideal.

This work is partially supported by RFBR grants 12-01-31492, 14-01-00748, by ISF grant 94/11, by JSC "Gazprom Neft" and by the Chebyshev Laboratory (Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, St. Petersburg State University) under RF Government grant 11.G34.31.0026.

disk such that $\liminf_{|z|<1,\, z\to\xi} |\theta(z)| = 0$. Then (see Section III.3 in [15]) for every function $\varphi \in H^\infty$ we have

$$\sigma(A_\varphi) = \varphi(\sigma(\theta)), \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma(A_\varphi)$ denotes the spectrum of the operator A_φ. Formula (1) can be regarded as a spectral mapping theorem for the H^∞-functional calculus of the operator S_θ. Indeed, for every $\varphi \in H^\infty$ we have $\sigma(\varphi(S_\theta)) = \varphi(\sigma(\theta)) = \varphi(\sigma(S_\theta))$.

Proof of formula (1) relies on the celebrated Corona theorem for the algebra H^∞ of bounded analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. On the other hand, by much more elementary technique one can show that for every continuous function φ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ we have

$$\sigma_e(A_\varphi) = \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}), \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma_e(A_\varphi)$ is the Fredholm (or essential) spectrum of A_φ, see Section V.4 in [15] or [9]. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$. D. Sarason [22] proved that the set

$$\mathcal{C} + H^\infty = \{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2,\ \ \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C},\ \varphi_2 \in H^\infty\}$$

is the closed subalgebra of L^∞. We compute the essential spectrum of truncated Toeplitz operators with symbols in $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let θ be an inner function, and let A_φ be the truncated Toeplitz operator on K_θ with symbol $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}+H^\infty$. Then $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) = \varphi(\sigma_e(A_z)) = \varphi(\sigma(\theta)\cap\mathbb{T})$.*

The main step in the proof of Theorem 1 is an application of the corona theorem for the Sarason algebra $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ obtained in 2007 by R. Mortini and B. Wick [14].

Let $B(K_\theta)/S^\infty(K_\theta)$ be the Calkin algebra of all bounded operators on K_θ modulo compact operators. We will denote its elements by $[T]$. Note that for $T \in B(K_\theta)$ we have $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma([T])$ by the definition of the essential spectrum. It not difficult to check that the mapping $\varphi \mapsto [A_\varphi]$ is the contractive homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}+H^\infty$ to $B(K_\theta)/S^\infty(K_\theta)$. Hence if we define the $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$-functional calculus of $[S_\theta]$ by $\varphi([S_\theta]) = [A_\varphi]$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$, then Theorem 1 becomes the spectral mapping theorem for this calculus.

Compact truncated Hankel operators. The classical result by Hartman [10] says that a Hankel operator $H_\varphi : H^2 \to \overline{zH^2}$ is compact if and only if it has a continuous symbol. Its modern proof (see, e.g., Section 1.5 in [17]) is based on the Nehari theorem $\|H_\varphi\| = \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, H^\infty)$ and the fact that for every function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, H^\infty) = \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, H^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}). \tag{3}$$

Formula (3) follows easily from properties of the Poisson kernel. Another proof is due to D. Sarason. It uses a corollary of brothers Riesz theorem on analytic measures, namely, the duality relation $\big(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}\big)^{**} = L^\infty/H^\infty$, where $\mathcal{A} = H^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}$ is the disk algebra. Both proofs can be found in Section VII of [13]. As we will see, the duality approach works for the following analogue of (3): for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta) = \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ denotes the closure of the set $\overline{\theta H^\infty} + H^\infty = \{\overline{\theta f_1} + f_2,\ f_{1,2} \in H^\infty\}$ in w^*-topology of the space L^∞. It worth be mentioned that the elementary argument based on a convolution with the Poisson kernel gives (4) only in the case where θ is a finite Blaschke product, see Section 3.

An inner function θ is said to be one-component if the set $\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \ |\theta(z)| < \varepsilon\}$ is connected for a positive number $\varepsilon < 1$. It follows from the results of [1] that truncated Hankel operators acting on the space K_θ generated by a one-component inner function θ satisfy the two-sided estimate $\|\Gamma_\varphi\| \asymp \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2})$ of Nehari type. Using this estimate and formula (4) for the inner function θ^2, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let θ be a one-component inner function. Then a truncated Hankel operator $\Gamma : K_\theta \to \overline{K_\theta}$ is compact if and only if $\Gamma = \Gamma_\varphi$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, one can choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ so that $\|\Gamma\| \leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant c_\theta \|\Gamma\|$, where the constant c_θ depends only on θ.*

In Section 4 we combine Theorem 2 with some other characterizations of compact truncated Hankel operators. We also present a conjecture on truncated Hankel operators in Schatten classes $S^p(K_\theta)$. We expect that their description is possible in terms of a mean oscillation with respect to the Clark measure of the inner function θ^2.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us recall some definitions. A bounded operator T on the Hilbert space H is called Fredholm if its range $\operatorname{Ran} T$ is the closed subspace of H, $\dim \operatorname{Ker} T < \infty$, and $\dim \operatorname{Ker} T^* < \infty$. A basic Fredholm theory says that T is Fredholm if and only if there are bounded operators L, R and compact operators K_L, K_R on H such that $LT = I + K_L$, $TR = I + K_R$, where I denotes the identical operator on H. The essential (Fredholm) spectrum of T, $\sigma_e(T)$, is the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the operator $T - \lambda I$ is not Fredholm. Define the continuous spectrum $\sigma_c(T)$ of T as the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists a non-compact sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$ for which $\lim_n \|(T - \lambda I)x_n\| = 0$. It is clear that $\sigma_c(T) \subset \sigma_e(T)$. Moreover, one can check that $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_c(T) \cup \overline{\sigma_c(T^*)}$.

We will prove that $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) \subset \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset \sigma_c(A_\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$. Since functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ are not defined everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$, we need a definition of the image $\varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T})$. Let m be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Put

$$\varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}) = \big\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \liminf_{z \in \mathbb{D},\, |z| \to 1} (|\hat{\varphi}(z) - \zeta| + |\theta(z)|) = 0\big\},$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ denotes the Poisson transform of φ,

$$\hat{\varphi}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(\xi) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{\xi} z|^2}\, dm(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ thus defined set $\varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T})$ coincides with the usual image of $\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ by φ.

Proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following result [14].

Theorem. *(R.Mortini, B. Wick) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be a family of functions in $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r < 1$ we have*

$$|\hat{f}_1(z)| + \ldots + |\hat{f}_n(z)| > \varepsilon, \quad r \leqslant |z| < 1.$$

Then there exist functions $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ in $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ such that $f_1 g_1 + \ldots + f_n g_n = 1$ almost everywhere on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$.

The corresponding statement in [14] (Theorem 1.1) is given in slightly different terms. However, that statement can be easily reduced to the above formulation by noting that $f \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ is invertible if and only if there exists $r < 1$ such that $|\hat{f}(z)| > \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $r \leqslant |z| < 1$. Alternatively, one can check that the proof in [14] works for our reformulation without any essential changes.

Lemma 2.1. *Let θ be an inner function and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$. Then for the truncated Toeplitz operator $A_\varphi : K_\theta \to K_\theta$ we have $\varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset \sigma_c(A_\varphi)$.*

Proof. The proof of lemma is a modification of arguments in Section III.3 of [15]. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ and let $\zeta \in \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T})$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (|\hat{\varphi}(\lambda_n) - \zeta| + |\theta(\lambda_n)|) = 0.$$

We can assume that λ_n converge to a point $\lambda_\infty \in \mathbb{T}$ and that $|\theta(\lambda_n)| < \frac{1}{2}$ for all n. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ denote by $\tilde{k}_\lambda$ the function $\tilde{k}_\lambda = \frac{\theta - \theta(\lambda)}{z - \lambda}$. It is easy to check that $\tilde{k}_\lambda \in K_\theta$ and $\|\tilde{k}_\lambda\|^2 = \frac{1 - |\theta(\lambda)|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2}$. We claim that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|(A_\varphi - \zeta I)\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|}{\|\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Consider functions $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, $\varphi_2 \in H^\infty$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$. Put $\zeta_1 = \varphi_1(\lambda_\infty)$ and $\zeta_2 = \zeta - \zeta_1$. We have

$$\frac{\|(A_{\varphi_1} - \zeta_1 I)\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|^2}{\|\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|^2} \leqslant \frac{\|(\varphi_1 - \zeta_1)\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|^2}{\|\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|^2} \leqslant 8 \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\varphi_1(z) - \zeta_1|^2 \frac{1 - |\lambda_n|^2}{|z - \lambda_n|^2}\, dm(z). \tag{6}$$

Since the function $|\varphi_1 - \zeta_1|^2$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}$ and vanishes at $\lambda_\infty = \lim \lambda_n$, the last integral tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Next, for every λ_n the function $\frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_2(\lambda_n)}{z - \lambda_n}$ belongs to H^2, hence

$$A_{\varphi_2}\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n} = P_\theta\left(\varphi_2 \frac{\theta - \theta(\lambda_n)}{z - \lambda_n}\right) = -\theta(\lambda_n) P_\theta\left(\frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_2(\lambda_n)}{z - \lambda_n}\right) + \varphi_2(\lambda_n)\frac{\theta - \theta(\lambda_n)}{z - \lambda_n}.$$

Using the estimate $\left\|\frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_2(\lambda_n)}{z - \lambda_n}\right\|^2 \leqslant \frac{4\|\varphi_2\|_{L^\infty}^2}{1 - |\lambda_n|^2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\|(A_{\varphi_2} - \zeta_2 I)\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|^2}{\|\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|^2} \leqslant 8|\theta(\lambda_n)|^2 \|\varphi_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + |\varphi_2(\lambda_n) - \zeta_2|^2. \tag{7}$$

Both summands in the right hand side tend to zero as $n \to \infty$. Combining estimates (6) and (7), we see that (5) holds. Since $\lim |\theta(\lambda_n)| = 0$, the sequence $\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}/\|\tilde{k}_{\lambda_n}\|$ is non-compact (use the fact that the sequence $\sqrt{1 - |\lambda_n|^2}/(1 - \bar{\lambda}_n z)$ is non-compact in H^2). It follows that $\varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset \sigma_c(A_\varphi)$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let θ be an inner function. The mapping $\varphi \mapsto [A_\varphi]$ is the contractive homomorphism from $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ to $B(K_\theta)/S^\infty(K_\theta)$.*

Proof. Clearly, the mapping $\varphi \mapsto [A_\varphi]$ is linear and $\|[A_\varphi]\| \leqslant \|A_\varphi\| \leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$. We have $A_{\varphi_1} A_{\varphi_2} = A_{\varphi_1 \varphi_2}$ for all φ_1, φ_2 in H^∞, see Section III.2 in [15]. Also, it was observed in [9] that if $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi_2 \in L^\infty$, then $A_{\varphi_1} A_{\varphi_2} = A_{\varphi_1 \varphi_2} + K_1$ and $A_{\varphi_2} A_{\varphi_1} = A_{\varphi_1 \varphi_2} + K_2$, where K_1, K_2 are compact operators on K_θ. This yields the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let θ be an inner function and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$. Then for the truncated Toeplitz operator $A_\varphi : K_\theta \to K_\theta$ we have $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) \subset \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. Take a point $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T})$. Let us show that the operator $A_\varphi - \zeta I$ on K_θ is Fredholm. We have

$$|\hat{\varphi}(z) - \zeta| + |\theta(z)| > \varepsilon, \qquad z \in U_\delta \cap \mathbb{D}, \tag{8}$$

for some neighbourhood U_δ of the closed set $\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}$. From the definition of $\sigma(\theta)$ we see that estimate (8) holds for all z with $|z| \geqslant r$, where $r < 1$ is a positive number depending on θ and ε. Thus, we can apply the corona theorem for the algebra $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ and find functions g_1, g_2 in $\mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ such that

$$(\varphi - \zeta)g_1 + \theta g_2 = 1 \tag{9}$$

almost everywhere on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Note that A_θ is the zero operator on K_θ. Using equation (9) and Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$A_{g_1}(A_\varphi - \zeta I) = I + K_L, \qquad (A_\varphi - \zeta I)A_{g_1} = I + K_R,$$

for some compact operators K_L, K_R on K_θ. It follows that $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_e(A_\varphi)$, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 we have the following chain of inclusions: $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) \subset \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}) \subset \sigma_c(A_\varphi)$. Since $\sigma_c(T) \subset \sigma_e(T)$ for every bounded operator T, we have $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) = \varphi(\sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T})$. In particular, for $\varphi = z$ we get $\sigma_e(A_z) = \sigma(\theta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and hence $\sigma_e(A_\varphi) = \varphi(\sigma_e(A_z))$. The theorem is proved. □

Next proposition describes the kernel of the homomorphism $\varphi \mapsto A_\varphi$ from $\mathcal{C}+H^\infty$ to $B(K_\theta)/S^\infty(K_\theta)$. It is an extension of the Sarason theorem on compact truncated Toeplitz operators with symbols in H^∞, see Section VIII.3 in [15].

Proposition 2.1. *Let θ be an inner function and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$. Then the truncated Toeplitz operator $A_\varphi : K_\theta \to K_\theta$ is compact if and only if $\varphi \in \theta\mathcal{C} + \theta H^\infty$.*

Proof. Let P_- denote the orthogonal projector on L^2 to the subspace $\overline{zH^2}$. Consider the operator $T : g \mapsto \theta P_-(\bar{\theta} g) - P_- g$ on L^2. It is easy to see that $Tg = 0$ for $g \in \overline{zH^2} \oplus \theta H^2$ and $Tg = g$ for $g \in K_\theta$. Hence, we have $T = P_\theta$. Define the Hankel operator $H_\psi : H^2 \to \overline{zH^2}$ with symbol $\psi \in L^\infty$ by $H_\psi : f \mapsto P_-(\psi f)$. By Hartman's theorem, H_ψ is compact if and only if $\psi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$, see Section 1.5 in [17]. For every function $f \in K_\theta$ we have

$$A_\varphi f = P_\theta(\varphi f) = \theta P_-(\bar{\theta}\varphi f) - P_-(\varphi f) = \theta H_{\bar{\theta}\varphi} f - H_\varphi f. \tag{10}$$

Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}+H^\infty$ is such that $A_\varphi \in S^\infty(K_\theta)$. Consider a weakly convergent sequence $g_n \in H^2$ with zero limit. Put $f_n = P_\theta g_n$. From formula (10) we see that $\lim \|H_{\bar{\theta}\varphi} f_n\| = 0$ because the operators A_φ, H_φ are compact. Consider the sequence $\theta h_n = g_n - f_n$ of functions in θH^2. Note that $h_n \in H^2$ converge weakly to zero. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$, we have $\lim \|H_{\bar{\theta}\varphi}\theta h_n\| = \lim \|H_\varphi h_n\| = 0$. It follows that $\lim \|H_{\bar{\theta}\varphi} g_n\| = 0$ for every weakly convergent to zero sequence $\{g_n\} \subset H^2$. Hence the operator $H_{\bar{\theta}\varphi} : H^2 \to \overline{zH^2}$ is compact and therefore $\varphi \in \theta\mathcal{C} + \theta H^\infty$.

Now let $\varphi = \theta\varphi_1 + \theta\varphi_2$, where $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi_2 \in H^\infty$. Then we have $A_\varphi = A_{\theta\varphi_1}$. Moreover, from Lemma 2.2 we see that $A_{\theta\varphi_1} = A_\theta A_{\varphi_1} + K$ for a compact operator K on K_θ. Since $A_\theta = 0$, the operator $A_{\theta\varphi_1}$ is compact. □

Remark. Proposition 2.1 can be reformulated in the following way: the truncated Hankel operator $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ with symbol $\varphi \in \bar{\theta}(\mathcal{C}+H^\infty)$ is compact if and only if $\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + H^\infty$ (see Lemma 3.3). In general, the assumption $\varphi \in \bar{\theta}(\mathcal{C} + H^\infty)$ can not be omitted: one can construct an inner function θ and a rank-one truncated Hankel operator on K_θ which has no bounded symbols [2]. In Theorem 2 we prove that if θ is a one-component inner function, then *every* compact truncated Hankel operator on K_θ has a continuous symbol.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 splits into series of lemmas. The main analytic ingredient is due to T. Wolff [26].

Theorem (T. Wolff)**.** *Denote* $\mathrm{QC} = \overline{(\mathcal{C} + H^\infty)} \cap (\mathcal{C} + H^\infty)$ *and* $\mathrm{QA} = \mathrm{QC} \cap H^\infty$. *For every* $f \in L^\infty$ *there exists an outer function* $g \in \mathrm{QA}$ *such that* $gf \in \mathrm{QC}$.

Invariant subspaces of the backward shift operator S^* on the Hardy space H^1 have the form $K^1_\theta = H^1 \cap \bar{z}\theta\overline{H^1}$, where θ is an inner function. It follows that from the definition that a function $f \in L^1$ belongs to K^1_θ if $\int_{\mathbb{T}} fzh\, dm = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f\overline{\theta h}\, dm = 0$ for all $h \in H^\infty$, where m denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ is the closure of the set $\overline{\theta H^\infty} + H^\infty = \{\overline{\theta f_1} + f_2,\ f_{1,2} \in H^\infty\}$ in the w^*-topology of the space L^∞. The following lemma is close to Remark 4.1 in [24].

Lemma 3.1. *Let* θ *be an inner function. Then* $\big(\mathcal{C}/(\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C})\big)^* = K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$. *In particular,* $K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$ *is closed in the* w^**-topology of the space* zH^1.

Proof. Let Φ be a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{C}/(\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C})$. By the Riesz-Markov theorem, there exists a complex measure μ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\Phi(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi\, d\mu, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C},$$

and $\Phi(\varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}$. This measure μ can be chosen so that its total variation equals $\|\Phi\|$. For all integers $k \geqslant 0$ we have $\Phi(z^k) = 0$. Hence $\mu = h\, dm$ for a function $h \in zH^1$ by the brothers Riesz theorem. Using Wolff's theorem, find an outer function g such that $\theta g \in \mathrm{QC}$. Note that $\theta g \in H^\infty$ and therefore $\theta g \in \mathrm{QA}$. For every analytic polynomial p we have $\theta g p \in \mathrm{QA}$ because QA is an algebra. Since $\mathrm{QA} \subset \overline{\mathcal{C} + H^\infty}$, one can find functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, $\psi \in H^\infty$ such that $\overline{\varphi} + \overline{\psi} = \theta g p$. By the construction, we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}$. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\theta g p}\, h\, dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\varphi + \psi) h\, dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi h\, dm = \Phi(\varphi) = 0.$$

Since g is outer, functions of the form gp, where p is an analytic polynomial, form the dense subset of H^∞ in the w^*-topology of L^∞. We see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{f} \cdot \overline{\theta} h\, dm = 0$$

for all $f \in H^\infty$. It follows that $\overline{\theta} h \in \overline{zH^1}$ and hence h lies in $zH^1 \cap \bar{z}\theta\overline{H^1} = K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$. By our choice of the measure $\mu = h\, dm$, we have $\|h\|_{L^1} = \|\Phi\|$. Thus, we have the isometric inclusion $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{F}_\theta)^* \subset K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$. To obtain the inverse inclusion, observe that for $h \in K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$ the linear functional $\Phi_h : \varphi \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi h\, dm$ is continuous on $\mathcal{C}$ and vanishes on $\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}$. The latter also shows that $K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$ is the annihilator

of the subset $\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}$. Hence $K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$ is closed in w^*-topology of the space zH^1 generated by $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let θ be an inner function. Then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ we have*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta) = \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. For every inner function θ we have $(K^1_\theta \cap zH^1)^* = L^\infty/\mathcal{F}_\theta$ by a standard duality argument. Indeed, this follows from the simple fact that $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ is the annihilator of $K^1_\theta \cap zH^1$ in L^∞. Hence $\big(\mathcal{C}/(\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C})\big)^{**} = L^\infty/\mathcal{F}_\theta$ by Lemma 3.1. Consider the canonical embedding

$$\mathcal{C}/(\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty/\mathcal{F}_\theta$$

which sends the factor-class $\varphi + \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}$ from $\mathcal{C}/(\mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C})$ to the factor-class $\varphi + \mathcal{F}_\theta$ in $L^\infty/\mathcal{F}_\theta$. By a general theory of Banach spaces, this embedding is isometric, see Section II.3.19 in [7]. Now the conclusion is evident. □

To use some results of [1], we need to relate truncated Hankel and Toeplitz operators. This is the subject of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let θ be an inner function and let $\varphi \in L^2$. Consider the truncated Hankel operator $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ and the truncated Toeplitz operator $A_{\theta\varphi} : K_\theta \to K_\theta$. For all $f \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ we have $\Gamma_\varphi f = \bar\theta A_{\theta\varphi} f$.*

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of Proposition 2.1, $P_\theta g = \theta P_-(\bar\theta g) - P_- g$ for all $g \in L^2$. Analogously, one can check that $P_{\bar\theta} g = P_- g - \bar\theta P_-(\theta g)$. Using this two formulas, we obtain

$$\bar\theta A_{\theta\varphi} f = \bar\theta\big(\theta P_-(\bar\theta\theta\varphi f) - P_-(\theta\varphi f)\big) = P_-(\varphi f) - \bar\theta P_-(\theta\varphi f) = \Gamma_\varphi f$$

for all $f \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$, as required. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let θ be an inner function. Then $\mathcal{F}_\theta = (\overline{\theta H^2} + H^2) \cap L^\infty$. Consequently, for $\varphi \in L^\infty$ the truncated Hankel operator $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ is the zero operator if and only if φ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\theta^2} = (\overline{\theta^2 H^2} + H^2) \cap L^\infty$.*

Proof. We first check that $\mathcal{F} = (\overline{\theta H^2} + H^2) \cap L^\infty$ is closed in the w^*-topology of the space L^∞. Assume that $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{F}$ are such that $\lim \int \varphi_n f\, dm = \int \varphi f\, dm$ for every $f \in L^1$. Then $\varphi \in L^\infty$ and for every function $f \in K_\theta \cap zH^2$ we have $\int \varphi f\, dm = 0$ because $K_\theta \subset L^1$ and $\int \varphi_n f\, dm = 0$ for all n. It follows that φ belongs to the orthogonal complement of $\overline{K_\theta \cap zH^2}$ in the space L^2, that is, $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$. The same argument shows that $f \in L^1$ is such that $\int f\varphi\, dm = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\theta$ if and only if $\int f\varphi\, dm = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ are w^*-closed, we have $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\theta$. The second part of the statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 in [23] and Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let θ be an inner function. Truncated Hankel operators with continuous symbols are compact and norm-dense in the set of all compact truncated Hankel operators on K_θ.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it is sufficient to show that truncated Toeplitz operators with symbols in $\theta\mathcal{C}$ are compact and norm-dense in the set of all compact truncated Toeplitz operators on K_θ. The first claim (compactness) follows from Proposition 2.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ denote $k_\lambda = \frac{1-\overline{\theta(\lambda)}\theta}{1-\bar\lambda z}$ and $\tilde k_\lambda = \frac{\theta-\theta(\lambda)}{z-\lambda}$. Then $k_\lambda, \tilde k_\lambda \in K_\theta$

and the rank-one operator $T_\lambda : h \mapsto (h, k_\lambda)\tilde{k}_\lambda$ is the truncated Toeplitz operator with symbol $\varphi_\lambda = \frac{\theta}{z-\lambda}$, see Section 5 in [23]. Moreover, by Corollary 5.1 in [1] the linear span of the set $\{T_\lambda,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is norm-dense in the set of all compact truncated Toeplitz operators on K_θ. Since $\varphi_\lambda \in \theta\mathcal{C}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, the lemma is proved. □

Next lemma is a consequence of Corollary 2.5 in [1].

Lemma 3.6. *Let θ be a one-component inner function. Then every bounded truncated Hankel operator Γ on K_θ has a bounded symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty$. Moreover, there exists a constant c_θ depending only on θ such that $\|\Gamma\| \leqslant \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}) \leqslant c_\theta\|\Gamma\|$ for every symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty$ of Γ.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ be the set of all bounded truncated Hankel operators on K_θ. It follows from Theorem 4.2 in [23] and Lemma 3.3 that $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ is closed in the weak operator topology. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ is the Banach space with respect to the operator norm. By Corollary 2.5 of [1] and Lemma 3.3, every bounded truncated Hankel operator Γ on K_θ has a bounded symbol φ_Γ. The set of all bounded symbols of Γ equals $\varphi_\Gamma + \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}$ by Lemma 3.4. Hence the linear mapping $\Gamma \mapsto [\varphi_\Gamma]$ from $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ to $L^\infty/\mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}$ is correctly defined and bounded by the closed graph theorem. It follows that there exists a constant c_θ depending only on θ such that $\operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}) \leqslant c_\theta\|\Gamma\|$ for every bounded symbol φ of Γ. On the other hand, we have $\|\Gamma\| \leqslant \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2})$ because $\|\Gamma_{\varphi+\psi}\| \leqslant \|\varphi + \psi\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\Gamma_\psi = 0$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Let θ be a one-component inner function and let Γ be a compact truncated Hankel operator from K_θ to $\overline{zK_\theta}$. Fix a number $\varepsilon > 0$ and put $c_\varepsilon = (1+\varepsilon)$. By Lemma 3.5, one can find compact truncated Hankel operators Γ_{φ_k} with symbols $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\Gamma = \sum_k \Gamma_{\varphi_k}, \quad \sum \|\Gamma_{\varphi_k}\| \leqslant c_\varepsilon\|\Gamma\|.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 for the inner function θ^2 that there exist functions $\tilde{\varphi}_k \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\varphi_k - \tilde{\varphi}_k \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}$ and $\|\tilde{\varphi}_k\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant c_\varepsilon \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi_k, \mathcal{F}_{\theta^2})$. By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\sum_k \|\tilde{\varphi}_k\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant c_\varepsilon \sum_k \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi_k, \theta\mathcal{F}_{\theta^2}) \leqslant c_\varepsilon c_\theta \sum \|\Gamma_{\varphi_k}\| \leqslant c_\varepsilon^2 c_\theta\|\Gamma\|. \tag{11}$$

Consider the function $\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_k \tilde{\varphi}_k$. By the construction, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}$ and we have $\Gamma = \sum \Gamma_{\varphi_k} = \sum \Gamma_{\tilde{\varphi}_k} = \Gamma_{\tilde{\varphi}}$, that is, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the symbol of the operator Γ. Clearly, we have $\|\Gamma\| \leqslant \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty}$. On the other hand, $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant c_\varepsilon^2 c_\theta\|\Gamma\|$ by estimate (11). The theorem is proved. □

Example. Let θ be a finite Blaschke product. Then one can obtain Lemma 3.2 (and hence Theorem 2) using an elementary convolution argument. Indeed, take a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ and consider $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta$ such that

$$\|\varphi - f\|_{L^\infty} = \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta).$$

Since the subspace $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ has finite codimension in L^∞, we have $f = f_1 + \overline{\theta f_2}$ for some functions $f_1, f_2 \in H^\infty$. For $g \in L^\infty$ and $0 < r < 1$ denote by g_r the continuous function $z \mapsto \hat{g}(rz)$ in the closed unit disk. Note that $\|g_r\| \leqslant \|g\|$ because g_r is the convolution of g and the Poisson kernel $\frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\zeta|^2}$ which is of unit norm in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Hence,

$$\|\varphi_r - f_r\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \|\varphi - f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

We have $\lim_r \|\varphi - \varphi_r\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ and $\lim_r \|\theta - \theta_r\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ because the functions φ, θ are continuous on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Put $\tilde{f}_r = f_{1r} + \overline{\theta f_{2r}}$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}) \leqslant \lim_{r\to 1} \|\varphi - \tilde{f}_r\|_{L^\infty} = \lim_{r\to 1} \|\varphi_r - f_r\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \|\varphi - f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

This shows that $\operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta \cap \mathcal{C}) \leqslant \operatorname{dist}_{L^\infty}(\varphi, \mathcal{F}_\theta)$. The opposite inequality is obvious. □

Remark. The main problem with the above proof in the general case is that the equality $\lim_{r\to 1} \|\theta - \theta_r\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ is false if θ is not a finite Blaschke product.

4. Truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators in Schatten classes

Let H_1, H_2 be separable Hilbert spaces, and let $0 < p < \infty$. Recall that a compact operator $T : H_1 \to H_2$ belongs to the Schatten class $S^p = S^p(H_1, H_2)$ if the quantity

$$\|T\|_{S^p} = \Big(\sum s_k(T)^p\Big)^{1/p}$$

is finite, where $s_k(T)$ are the singular values of T (that is, $s_k(T)$ are the eigenvalues of the compact selfajoint operator $|T|$).

In Section 4.1 we introduce some singular integral operators closely related to truncated Hankel operators. In Section 4.2 we collect several characterizations of compact truncated Hankel operators, extending Theorem 2. Next in Section 4.3 we present a conjecture related to truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators in Schatten classes S^p, $0 < p < \infty$.

4.1. A class of singular integral operators.

Fix a complex number α such that $|\alpha| = 1$. Let σ_α denote the corresponding Clark measure of θ, that is, the positive measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha + \theta(z)}{\alpha - \theta(z)}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{\xi} z|^2}\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{12}$$

For a review of the theory of Clark measures see [18]. Directly from (12) one can see that the subset of $\mathbb{T}$ where the angular boundary values of θ equals α is of full measure σ_α. In particular, the measures $\{\sigma_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=1}$ are mutually singular and singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure m on $\mathbb{T}$. A. G. Poltoratski [19] proved that every function $F \in K_\theta$ has angular boundary values $f \in L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ σ_α-almost everywhere on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. The function F can be recovered from its trace by

$$F(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\xi) \frac{1 - \overline{\alpha}\theta(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi} z}\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{13}$$

It was proved in pioneering work [5] by D. N. Clark that the mapping $V_\alpha : F \mapsto f$ is the unitary operator from K_θ onto the space $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$. Formula (13) allows us to identify functions in K_θ and their traces in $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$.

Consider the unitary operator $H_\alpha = V_{-\alpha} V_\alpha^{-1}$ acting from $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ to $L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$. From formula (13) we see that for every $f \in L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ and $g \in L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ with separated supports we have

$$(H_\alpha f, g)_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}\zeta}\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi) \right) \overline{g(\zeta)}\, d\sigma_{-\alpha}(\zeta), \tag{14}$$

Thus, H_α is the unitary Hilbert transform from $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ to $L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$; in the discrete setting it was characterized by Yu. Belov, T. Mengestie, and K. Seip in [3].

We will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Omega : L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \times L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a sesquilinear form defined on pairs of Lipschitz functions $f \in L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$, $g \in L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ with $\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp} f, \operatorname{supp} g) > 0$. Assume that $|\Omega(f,g)| \leqslant c\|f\|_{L^2(\sigma_\alpha)} \cdot \|g\|_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})}$ for all such f, g and some $c \geqslant 0$. Then there exists the unique linear bounded operator $T : L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \to L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ such that $\Omega(f,g) = (Tf,g)_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})}$ for all pairs f, g from the domain of definition of Ω.*

Proof. Since the measures σ_α, $\sigma_{-\alpha}$ are mutually singular, for every pair of functions $f \in L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$, $g \in L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ one can find Lipschitz functions $f_n \in L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$, $g_n \in L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ with separated supports and such that $\lim \|f - f_n\|_{L^2(\sigma_\alpha)} = 0$, $\lim \|g - g_n\|_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})} = 0$. This observation shows that the domain of definition $\operatorname{dom}\Omega$ of Ω is dense in $L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \times L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$. Also it is easy to check that Ω is continuous on $\operatorname{dom}\Omega$. Now we can extend Ω to the whole space $L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \times L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ by continuity and find a linear bounded operator $T : L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \to L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ such that $\Omega(f,g) = (Tf,g)_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})}$. □

Lemma 4.1 shows that the operator H_α is completely determined by its sesquilinear form (14). The same is true for the singular operators C_φ that will be defined below.

Consider the measure $\nu_{\alpha^2} = (\sigma_\alpha + \sigma_{-\alpha})/2$. Observe that ν_{α^2} is the Clark measure of the inner function θ^2:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha^2 + \theta^2(z)}{\alpha^2 - \theta^2(z)}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{\xi} z|^2}\, d\nu_{\alpha^2}(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Take a function $\varphi \in L^2(\nu_{\alpha^2})$. Let M_φ be the densely defined operator of multiplication by φ on $L^2(\nu_{\alpha^2})$. Consider the commutator $C_\varphi = H_\alpha M_\varphi - M_\varphi H_\alpha$ as an operator acting from $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ to $L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$. More precisely, for every pair of functions with separated supports, $f \in L^\infty(\sigma_\alpha)$, $g \in L^\infty(\sigma_{-\alpha})$, define

$$(C_\varphi f, g)_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi} z} f(\xi)\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi) \right) \overline{g(\zeta)}\, d\sigma_{-\alpha}(\zeta).$$

Finally, introduce the unitary operator $\tilde{V}_{-\alpha} : \overline{zK_\theta} \to L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ which takes a function $\overline{zf} \in \overline{zK_\theta}$ into $\overline{z \cdot V_{-\alpha} f} \in L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$. Next lemma shows that the singular operators C_φ are unitarily equivalent to the truncated Hankel operators on K_θ.

Lemma 4.2. *Let θ be an inner function and let $\overline{\varphi} \in K_{\theta^2}$. Consider the operators $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ and $C_\varphi : L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \to L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$. We have $\Gamma_\varphi = \tilde{V}_{-\alpha}^{-1} C_\varphi V_\alpha$. In other words, for all f, g in K_θ such that $f = f_1$ in $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ and $g = g_1$ in $L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ for some Lipschitz functions f_1, g_1 on $\mathbb{T}$ with separated supports we have*

$$(\Gamma_\varphi f, \overline{zg})_{L^2} = (C_\varphi f, \overline{zg})_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})}. \tag{15}$$

In particular, the operators Γ_φ, C_φ are bounded (compact, of Schatten class S^p) or not simultaneously and their norms coincide.

Proof. At first, let us check that the sesquilinear forms in (15) are correctly defined. For this we need to show that $f \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\nu_{\alpha^2})$. The inclusion $f \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ follows from (13) and the assumption that $f = f_1$ in $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$

for a Lipschitz function f_1 on $\mathbb{T}$. We have $\varphi \in L^2(\nu_{\alpha^2})$ because ν_{α^2} is the Clark measure for the inner function θ^2 and $\overline{\varphi} \in K_{\theta^2}$. Next, since both $\overline{\varphi}$ and zfg belong to K_{θ^2}, we have

$$(\Gamma_\varphi f, \overline{zg})_{L^2} = (\varphi f, \overline{zg})_{L^2} = (zfg, \bar{\varphi})_{L^2} = (zfg, \bar{\varphi})_{L^2(\nu_{\alpha^2})}.$$

Using (13) and the fact that $\theta(z) = \alpha$ for σ_α-almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ in the sense of angular boundary values, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi fg, \bar{\varphi})_{L^2(\sigma_\alpha)} &= 2\int_{\mathbb{T}} \xi f_1(\xi)\varphi(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{g_1(\zeta)}{1-\bar{\zeta}\xi}\, d\sigma_{-\alpha}(\zeta)\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi) \\ &= 2\iint \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\bar{\xi}-\bar{\zeta}} f_1(\xi) g_1(\zeta)\, d\sigma_{-\alpha}(\zeta)\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Note that all integrals in the above formula converge absolutely because the supports of f_1 and g_1 are separated. Analogously, we have

$$(\zeta fg, \bar{\varphi})_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})} = 2\iint \frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{\bar{\zeta}-\bar{\xi}} f_1(\xi) g_1(\zeta)\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi)\, d\sigma_{-\alpha}(\zeta).$$

Summing up this two formulas, we get

$$(zfg, \bar{\varphi})_{L^2(\nu_{\alpha^2})} = \iint \frac{\varphi(\zeta)-\varphi(\xi)}{\bar{\zeta}-\bar{\xi}} f_1(\xi) g_1(\zeta)\, d\sigma_\alpha(\xi)\, d\sigma_{-\alpha}(\zeta) = (C_\varphi f, \overline{\zeta g})_{L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})},$$

and formula (15) follows. The second part of the statement is a consequence of Lemma 4.1. □

4.2. **Compact truncated Hankel operators.** Consider a truncated Hankel operator $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ with symbol $\varphi \in L^2$. Denote by φ_s the orthogonal projection of φ to the subspace $\overline{K_{\theta^2} \cap zH^2}$ of L^2. It is easy to check that $\Gamma_\varphi = \Gamma_{\varphi_s}$. The function φ_s is called the standard symbol of Γ_φ. Standard symbols of truncated Hankel operators play the same role as the anti-analytic symbols of usual Hankel operators on H^2. In particular, it is possible to describe compact truncated Hankel operators in terms of the mean oscillation properties of its standard symbols.

Let ν be a measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. For a function $\varphi \in L^1(\nu)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ denote

$$M_\varepsilon(\varphi) = \sup\left\{ \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta)} \int_\Delta |\varphi - \langle\varphi\rangle_{\Delta,\nu}|\, d\nu,\ \Delta \text{ is an arc of } \mathbb{T} \text{ with } 0 < \nu(\Delta) \leqslant \varepsilon \right\},$$

where $\langle\varphi\rangle_{\Delta,\nu} = \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta)} \int_\Delta \varphi\, d\nu$. Define the space $\mathrm{VMO}(\nu)$ of functions of vanishing mean oscillation with respect to ν by $\mathrm{VMO}(\nu) = \{\varphi \in L^1(\nu) :\ \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} M_\varepsilon\varphi = 0\}$. We are in position to state the description of compact truncated Hankel and Toeplitz operators.

Proposition 4.1. *Let θ be a one-component inner function and let $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ be a truncated Hankel operator with the standard symbol $\varphi \in \overline{K_{\theta^2} \cap zH^2}$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *$\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ is compact;*
2. *$A_{\theta\varphi} : K_\theta \to K_\theta$ is compact;*
3. *$C_\varphi : L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \to L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ is compact;*
4. *$\lim_n \|\Gamma_\varphi - \Gamma_{\varphi_n}\| = 0$ for some operators $\Gamma_{\varphi_n} : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ of finite rank;*
5. *$\varphi \in \mathcal{C} + \overline{\theta^2 H^2} + H^2$;*
6. *$\varphi \in \mathrm{VMO}(\nu_{\alpha^2})$.*

Proof. Assertions (1), (2), (3) and (4) are equivalent for all inner functions θ. Indeed, the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) and (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) was proved in Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2, correspondingly. Evidently, (4) implies (1). The proof of Lemma 3.5 shows that (1) implies (4). By Theorem 3.1 in [23] and Lemma 3.3, we have $\Gamma_\psi = 0$ for $\psi \in L^2$ if and only if $\psi \in \overline{\theta^2 H^2} + H^2$. From Lemma 3.5 we see that (5) yields (1). Now assume that θ is a one-component inner function. Then (1) and (5) are equivalent by Theorem 2. Equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6) was proved in Proposition 4.1 in [4]. □

Remark. In paper [21] R. Rochberg studied some discrete singular integral operators in connection with Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Paley-Wiener space. That operators $\tilde{C}_\varphi : L^2(\sigma) \to L^2(\sigma)$ are defined by the formula

$$\tilde{C}_\varphi : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{x\}} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{x - y} f(x)\, d\sigma(x), \tag{16}$$

where σ is the counting measure on the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ (note that σ is the Clark measure σ_1 of the inner function $e^{2\pi i z}$ in the upper half-plane of the complex plane). It follows from the results by V. V. Kapustin that for a general inner function θ with the discrete Clark measure $\sigma = \sigma_\alpha$ every bounded operator $\tilde{C}_\varphi$ on $L^2(\sigma)$ is unitarily equivalent to the difference of a truncated Toeplitz operator A_ψ on K_θ and a certain wave operator related to A_ψ. For more details, see Section 3 in [12] and Section 2 in [11].

4.3. **Truncated Hankel operators in Schatten classes S^p.** Let ν be a finite measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ and let $f \in L^1(\nu)$. Take a positive integer r. For every arc Δ of $\mathbb{T}$ define the mean oscillation of f of order r by

$$\operatorname{osc}(f, \nu, \Delta, r) = \frac{1}{\nu(\Delta)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f - f_{\Delta,r}|\, d\nu(\xi),$$

where $f_{\Delta,r}$ is a polynomial of degree at most r such that

$$\int f_{\Delta,r} \bar{\xi}^k\, d\nu(\xi) = 0, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

If $\nu(\Delta) = 0$, we put $\operatorname{osc}(f, \nu, \Delta, r) = 0$. For $p \in (0, \infty)$ let r_p be the integer part of the number $1/p$. Denote by J the family of all dyadic subarcs of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. It is known that the classical Besov space $B_p = B_{p,p}^{0,\,1/p}(\mathbb{T})$ can be defined in terms of the mean oscillation as the set of all functions $f \in L^1$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B_p} = \left(\sum_{\Delta \in J} \operatorname{osc}(f, m, \Delta, r_p)^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where m is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. See Theorem 1 in [6] or Lemma 9.9 in [20] for the equivalence of the above definition of B_p and the classical one. By Peller's theorem, the Hankel operator $H_\varphi : H^2 \to \overline{zH^2}$ is in the class S^p, $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if its anti-analytic symbol $P_-\varphi$ belongs to B_p, see Chapter 6 in [17].

Now let ν be a discrete measure with isolated atoms on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, and let $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathrm{e}} \nu$ be the set of all points $\xi \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$ such that $\nu\{\xi\} = 0$. Assume that $\nu(\operatorname{supp}_{\mathrm{e}} \nu) = 0$. For a subset E of $\mathbb{T}$ we will denote by $\operatorname{int} E$ the interior of E. Let J_ν be the family of all dyadic subarcs of $\mathbb{T} \setminus \operatorname{supp}_{\mathrm{e}} \nu$. More precisely, a closed arc

$\Delta \subset \mathbb{T}$ is in J_ν if the interior of Δ is contained in a connected component I of the open set $\mathbb{T} \setminus \operatorname{supp}_{\mathrm{e}} \nu$ and there exists an integer $k \geqslant 0$ such that

$$I = \operatorname{int} \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^k} \Delta_i$$

for some rotated copies Δ_i of Δ with disjoint interiors; $\Delta_1 = \Delta$. Define the Besov space $B_p(\nu)$ as the set of all functions $f \in L^1(\nu)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B_p(\nu)} = \left(\sum_{\Delta \in J_\nu} \operatorname{osc}(f, \nu, \Delta, r_p)^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Our conjecture on truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators in Schatten classes S^p reads as follows.

Conjecture. *Let θ be a one-component inner function and let $\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ be a truncated Hankel operator with the standard symbol $\varphi \in \overline{K_{\theta^2} \cap zH^2}$. The following assertions are equivalent for each $p \in (0, \infty)$:*

(1) *$\Gamma_\varphi : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ is in S^p;*
(2) *$A_{\theta\varphi} : K_\theta \to K_\theta$ is in S^p;*
(3) *$C_\varphi : L^2(\sigma_\alpha) \to L^2(\sigma_{-\alpha})$ is in S^p;*
(4) *$\Gamma_\varphi = \sum_n a_n \Gamma_{\varphi_n}$ for some rank-one operators $\Gamma_{\varphi_n} : K_\theta \to \overline{zK_\theta}$ of unit norm and a sequence $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_n |a_n|^p < \infty$;*
(5) *$\varphi \in B_p + \overline{\theta^2 H^2} + H^2$;*
(6) *$\varphi \in B_p(\nu_{\alpha^2})$.*

As before, assertions (1), (2), and (3) are equivalent for all inner functions θ. It is also easy to see that each of (4) and (5) implies (1). Consider the case where θ is the inner function of the form $\theta : z \mapsto e^{i\pi z}$ in the upper half-plane of the complex plane. In this situation (1) is equivalent to

(5′) $\varphi \in B_p[0, 2\pi]$,

where $B_p[0, 2\pi]$ is a Besov class associated with the interval $[0, 2\pi]$. This result is due to R. Rochberg for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ (Theorems 5.1, 5.2 in [21]) and to V. Peller [16] for $0 < p < 1$. Also, R. Rochberg [21] proved that for the operators $\tilde{C}_\varphi$ in (16) the assertion

(3′) $\tilde{C}_\varphi : L^2(\sigma) \to L^2(\sigma)$ is in S^p $(1 < p < \infty)$;

is equivalent to assertion (6) with $\nu_{\alpha^2} = \nu_1 = \sigma$. His proof does not involve Hankel operators.

References

[1] Anton Baranov, Roman Bessonov, and Vladimir Kapustin. Symbols of truncated Toeplitz operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(12):3437–3456, 2011.

[2] Anton Baranov, Isabelle Chalendar, Emmanuel Fricain, Javad Mashreghi, and Dan Timotin. Bounded symbols and reproducing kernel thesis for truncated Toeplitz operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(10):2673–2701, 2010.

[3] Yurii Belov, Tesfa Y. Mengestie, and Kristian Seip. Unitary discrete Hilbert transforms. *J. Anal. Math.*, 112:383–393, 2010.

[4] R. V. Bessonov. Duality theorems for coinvariant subspaces of H^1. *arXiv:1401.0452*.

[5] Douglas N. Clark. One dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts. *J. Analyse Math.*, 25:169–191, 1972.

[6] José R. Dorronsoro. Mean oscillation and Besov spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 28(4):474–480, 1985.
[7] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz. *Linear operators. Part I.* Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988. General theory, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1958 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[8] Stephan Ramon Garcia and William T. Ross. Recent progress on truncated Toeplitz operators. In *Blaschke products and their applications*, volume 65 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 275–319. Springer, New York, 2013.
[9] Stephan Ramon Garcia, William T. Ross, and Warren R. Wogen. C*-algebras generated by truncated toeplitz operators. In *Concrete Operators, Spectral Theory, Operators in Harmonic Analysis and Approximation*, volume 236 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 181–192. Springer Basel, 2014.
[10] Philip Hartman. On completely continuous Hankel matrices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9:862–866, 1958.
[11] V. V. Kapustin. On wave operators on the singular spectrum. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 376(Issledovaniya po Lineinym Operatoram i Teoriya Funktsii. 38):48–63, 181, 2010.
[12] V. V. Kapustin. Cauchy-type integrals and singular measures. *Algebra i Analiz*, 24(5):72–93, 2012.
[13] Paul Koosis. *Introduction to H_p spaces*, volume 115 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. With two appendices by V. P. Havin [Viktor Petrovich Khavin].
[14] Raymond Mortini and Brett D. Wick. Spectral characteristics and stable ranks for the Sarason algebra $H^\infty + C$. *Michigan Math. J.*, 59(2):395–409, 2010.
[15] N. K. Nikolskii. *Treatise on the shift operator*, volume 273 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. Spectral function theory, With an appendix by S. V. Hruščev [S. V. Khrushchëv] and V. V. Peller, Translated from the Russian by Jaak Peetre.
[16] Vladimir V. Peller. Wiener-Hopf operators on a finite interval and Schatten-von Neumann classes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(2):479–486, 1988.
[17] Vladimir V. Peller. *Hankel operators and their applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
[18] Alexei Poltoratski and Donald Sarason. Aleksandrov-Clark measures. In *Recent advances in operator-related function theory*, volume 393 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–14. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
[19] A. G. Poltoratskiĭ. Boundary behavior of pseudocontinuable functions. *Algebra i Analiz*, 5(2):189–210, 1993.
[20] Fulvio Ricci and Mitchell Taibleson. Boundary values of harmonic functions in mixed norm spaces and their atomic structure. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 10(1):1–54, 1983.
[21] Richard Rochberg. Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Paley-Wiener space. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 10(2):187–235, 1987.
[22] Donald Sarason. Generalized interpolation in H^∞. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127:179–203, 1967.
[23] Donald Sarason. Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators. *Oper. Matrices*, 1(4):491–526, 2007.
[24] Dinesh Singh and U. N. Singh. Invariant subspaces in VMOA and BMOA. *Michigan Math. J.*, 41(2):211–218, 1994.
[25] Béla Sz.-Nagy, Ciprian Foias, Hari Bercovici, and László Kérchy. *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second edition, 2010.
[26] Thomas H. Wolff. Two algebras of bounded functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 49(2):321–328, 1982.

St.Petersburg State University (7-9, Universitetskaya nab., 199034, St.Petersburg, Russia), St.Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Science (27, Fontanka, 191023, St.Petersburg, Russia), and School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University (69978, Tel Aviv, Israel)

E-mail address: bessonov@pdmi.ras.ru